UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

Richard Boyd,)	Civil Action No.: 5:23-cv-02834-CMC
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	COMPLAINT
)	(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)
Dollar General	Corporation	and)	
Dolgencorp, LLC,)	
)	
	Defendants.)	
)	

TO: THE DEFENDANTS NAMED ABOVE:

The Plaintiff would respectfully show this Honorable Court that:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff Richard Boyd is a resident and citizen of Rowesville, South Carolina.
- 2. That upon information and belief, Defendant Dollar General Corporation ("Dollar General") was at all times relevant to this Complaint a Tennessee based corporation licensed to do business in the State of South Carolina, engaged in the business of selling consumer goods.
- 3. That upon information and belief, Defendant Dolgencorp ("Dolgencorp") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Dollar General which operates various stores for Defendant Dollar General.
- 4. Defendants are vicariously liable for the acts and/or omissions of its employees, agents and/or servants under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
 - 5. This incident occurred in Orangeburg, SC.
- 6. The parties to this action and the acts and omissions complained of herein are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and venue is proper.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. On May 8, 2022, Plaintiff was a business invitee on Defendants' premises located at or near 1661 Neeses Highway, Orangeburg, SC 29115. As Plaintiff was walking in the parking lot to enter Defendants' store, Plaintiff slipped and fell on a foreign substance and/or object existing on Defendants' premises.
- 8. The area in which Plaintiff fell did not contain any warning signs or barriers for customers.
- 9. As a result of this incident, Plaintiff sustained serious injuries, including injuries to his left ankle, all of which have caused him to suffer and endure considerable pain and discomfort. Plaintiff has been compelled to expend significant sums of monies for medical treatments and for the services of medical providers under whose care he has been and under whose care, he may, upon information and belief, be compelled to be in the future and he may, upon information and belief, also be compelled to expend additional monies for further medical and doctors' treatment required in the future. Plaintiff has and will continue to be prevented from attending to his ordinary affairs and duties; he has, upon information and belief, been and will continue to be deprived of the normal enjoyments of life he otherwise would have; and he, upon information and belief, may continue to endure discomforting pain and suffering which he otherwise would not have, and he has been otherwise injured and damaged.
- 10. As a result of this incident, Plaintiff has incurred actual damages, lost wages, lost earning capacity, compensatory damages, medical expenses, emotional distress, consequential damages, financial harm, permanent injury, and impairment of health and bodily efficiency.

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

- 11. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation as if repeated herein verbatim.
- 12. Defendants, their employees, servants, subcontractors, owners, contractors and/or agents had a duty to construct, develop, and maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition, in compliance with industry standards, and in a good and workmanlike manner.
- 13. Defendants, their employees, servants, agents, subcontractors, owners contractors and/or agents were negligent, careless, reckless, grossly negligent, willful and wanton in the following particulars:
 - a. In failing to maintain adequately safe conditions on its premises;
 - b. In failing to protect Plaintiff from safety hazards;
 - c. In failing to take remedial action to eliminate the safety hazard;
 - d. In creating a safety hazard;
 - e. In failing to warn Plaintiff of the safety hazard;
 - f. In failing to exercise the degree of care required by their profession;
 - g. In failing to use due care in the construction, maintenance, ownership and development of the property;
 - h. In failing to hire competent and/or qualified subcontractors;
 - i. In allowing a safety hazard to exist on the property;
 - j. In failing to properly maintain the premises;
 - k. In failing to warn patrons of hazards existing on their premises;

- l. In failing to follow established safety procedures or policies, or alternatively, in failing to have safety procedures and policies; and
- In such other and further particulars as may be ascertained during the course of discovery or trial of this case.
- 16. That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence, gross negligence, carelessness, willfulness, and wantonness of Defendants, their officers, employees, contractors, subcontractors, agents, and/or servants, Plaintiff suffered injuries, actual, direct, incidental, and consequential damages. Defendants are vicariously liable for the negligence of their employees, agents, contractors, owners, subcontractors and/or servants under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Plaintiff seeks actual and punitive damages under this cause of action.

<u>FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Negligent Hiring, Training, Supervision and Retention)

- 17. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation as if repeated herein verbatim.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants are negligent, careless, reckless, wanton, and grossly negligent at the time and place hereinabove mentioned in the following particulars:
 - a. In failing to have in place policies and procedures to train, supervise and/or retain their employees, contractors, agents, and/or subcontractors or if such procedures were in place, in failing to enforce them;
 - b. In failing to have in place adequate policies and procedures to mandate compliance by their employees, agents, contractors and/or subcontractors with regulations, policies, procedures and standards related to the construction, development, and maintenance of the premises and if such procedures were in place, in failing to enforce them;
 - c. In failing to ensure that their employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors and/or servants had the proper training and experience to be able to safely construct, develop and maintain the premises in a manner and at a level that

met or exceeded recognized standards, policies, regulations, codes and/or procedures.

- d. In generally failing to use the degree of care and caution that should have used under the same or similar circumstances.
- 19. That because of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants as enumerated hereinabove which resulted both proximately and directly in the damages also set out above, Plaintiff seeks actual damages against Defendants as well as punitive damages.

JURY DEMAND

20. Plaintiff demands that all causes of action that may be tried before a jury be so tried.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants in a sum sufficient to adequately compensate him for actual damages, punitive damages, the costs of this action, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and any relief a jury may award, and for such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

MIKE KELLY LAW GROUP, LLC

By: /s/ Ryan D. Martin

Ryan D. Martin Fed Bar No. 13632

1523 Huger Street, Suite A (29201)

Post Office Box 8113

Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Telephone: (803) 726-0123 Facsimile: (803) 252-7145

Email: rmartin@mklawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Columbia, South Carolina June 19, 2023